# Fine Scale Analysis of Genetic Structure in an Argentine Population of Anastrepha fraterculus (Wied.) with SSR markers.

## Ángeles Iriel Rodriguez<sup>1</sup>, Laura Inés Ferreyra<sup>1</sup>, Silvia Beatriz Lanzavecchia<sup>2</sup>, Paula Valeria Gómez Cendra<sup>1</sup>, Juan César Vilardi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidad de Buenos Aires. Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas. Inst. Ecología, Genética y Evolución (IEGEBA). Fac. Cs Exactas y Naturales. Dept Ecología, Genética y Evolución. Genética de Poblaciones Aplicada (GPA) (C.P. 1428) Buenos Aires, Argentina.<sup>2</sup> Inst. de Genética 'Ewald A. Favret', Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria, Hurlingham, Argentina

## Introduction

The South American fruit fly Anastrepha fraterculus causes significant damage to fruit and vegetable crops. Information about dispersal and oviposition behavior in the wild is relevant to integrated pest management programs

These questions may be approached by population structure analysis using molecular markers.

Our objective was analyzing the adaptive strategy and population structure of this species in a natural population from Argentina using microsatellite (SSR) markers.

## **Methods**

#### Sampling

More than 200 individuals were recovered from about 150 infested fruits from 10 guava trees in an orchard near Horco Molle, Tucumán, Argentina. In order to conduct hierarchical structure analysis the sample was restricted to get several fruits per tree yielding several individuals each. The final number of genotyped individuals was 65 (18 fruits from 9 trees).

#### **DNA Extraction**

It was performed according to the protocol specified by Baruffi et al. 1995 (Heredity 74: 425-437)

#### SSR

Six SSR loci where amplified in PCR conditions: One cycle at 95°C (2 min), 30 cycles at 95°C ( 30 s ), 58° C (30 s) and 72°C (30 s). Final elongation at 72°C (10 min). Performed in a Veriti Thermal Cycler, Applied Biosystem.

#### **PCR Analysis**

Fragments were run in an automatic sequencer 3500xl Genetic Analyzer, Applied Biosystems, and processed by Gene Marker v2.4.

## **Statistical Analysis**

Genetic variability was estimated by several indices (Tables 1 and 2). Population structure was studied by Wright's F-statistics and analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) considering three different hierarchical levels (trees/fruits/individuals). Reynold's genetic distances were estimated for UPGMA tree and cluster analysis. Genotype accumulation curve was also estimated. All analyses were performed with the packages hierfstat, poppr, ade4 and ape of the software R.

## **Results and Discussion** Genetic variability and structure population analyses

Locus	Но	Hs	Ht	FST	FIS
D105	0.346	0.448	0.498	0.100	0.227
A115	0.400	0.688	0.782	0.120	0.419
A7	0.581	0.744	0.764	0.026	0.219
A120	0.676	0.788	0.870	0.094	0.143
C103	0.803	0.806	0.842	0.043	0.004
A10	0.641	0.608	0.667	0.089	-0.054
Overall	0.574	0.680	0.742	0.077	0.156

Table 1. Genetic variability and population structure parameters per locus. All loci were highly polymorphic according to observed (Ho), expected (Hs), total heterozygosity (Ht), and number of alleles (n). Wright's  $F_{ST}$  indicated that about 8% of variation occurs among fruits and average  $F_{IS}$  is positive suggesting heterozygosity excess within fruits



Figure 1: Genotype accumulation curve. Due to the high variability of the SSR loci the multilocus genotype of only 4-5 loci allows to discriminate 100% of individuals.

# **Cluster analysis and Minimum Spanning Tree**



# Figure 3: UPGMA tree based on Reynold's

distance. Fruits were not grouped per tree. Boostrap support is represented by numbers over nodes. "Tree~fruit" code: the first number identifies the tree and the second the fruit.

Table 2: Genetic variability and population structure per fruit. Shannon-Weiner Diversity index (H), Expected heterozygosity (*Hs*), and Gametic disequilibrium  $(\bar{r}_{o})$ Variability within fruits was high and the different loci are mostly independent as  $\bar{r}_D$  in most cases is non significant. (\*significant values)

Н Hs

1.39 0.462

1.61 0.614

0.00 0.500

1.61 0.654

0.667

0.640

Ī,

-0.174 05--07

-0.144

-0.013

07--03

08--01

-0.050 07--09

0.370\* 08--03

05--03 1.61 0.744 -0.064 09--04 1.61 0.707 0.049

Hs 1.61

1.61 0.751 0.226\*

1.61 0.754

1.61 0.641

1.61 0.528

0.670

0.704

0.008 0.147

0.129

0.014

Fruit

02--05

03--12

04--02

04--03



Figure 2: Components of variance (in proportions) estimated by AMOVA. The highest part of genetic variation occurs within individuals (P= 0.001), the remaining variation was observed between individuals within fruits (P=0.001) and between fruit within trees (P=0.004). Variation among trees was non significant.



Figure 4: Minimum spanning tree based on Reynold's genetic distance. Individuals were not grouped per fruit or tree. Colors represent fruits and dots indicate individuals collected from them. The edge width is inversely proportional to the distance.

## Conclusions

•The SSR markers used allowed a fine scale genetic population structure and diversity analysis

-All the performed analyses suggest that there would be no fidelity in female oviposition behavior to the same fruit and each fruit would be colonized by several females. •Although still preliminary, the results suggest that ovipositing females are able to disperse significantly among trees throughout the orchard.



